

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,780	03/11/2002	Fabrice Rouillier	017346-0172 1665		
22428	7590 10/06/2004	EXAMINER			
FOLEY AND LARDNER			TSAI, HENRY		
SUITE 500 3000 K STRI	EET NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2183		
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/ U			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	/			
Office Action Summary		10/018,78	30	ROUILLIER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Henry W.F		2183				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extend	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication.  days, a reply within the statuatory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 3/21/02.						
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims		-					
	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-12 is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 4-7, and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2.3 and 14 is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from cons	·					
Applicati	on Papers			·				
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	J. ,		` ' .				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	*						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or foreian priority und	der 35 U.S.C. & 119/a	)-(d) or (f)				
	All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	bustirk diff	.s. <u>99.9,9,9,3,10(</u> a	/-\\./-\-\'/·				
1. 🖂 Certified copies of the priority-documents have been received								
•	2. Certified copies of the priority do							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
· S	see the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	ea.	:			
A44- 1	v.)							
<b>Attachmen</b> 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/(PTO 413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/21/02</u>		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2183

#### DETAILED ACTION

# Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 8-12 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP \$ 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 8-12 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 2. Claims 1-7, 13, and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, lines 11, 14, and 16, "the said" should read - said-. Similar problems exist in the other claims 2-7, 13, and 14.

In claim 1, lines 5 and 10, "\*" should be deleted. Similar problems exist in the other claims 2, and 14.

In claim 2, line 19 "deducing" should read -to deduce-; and, in line 23, "replacing" should read -to replace-.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2183

# Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

at page 9, line 9, "M1<sub>k,n</sub>(E)" should read - M<sub>1,k,n</sub>(E)-; at page 11, line 17, " $\Phi_{2,k,n}(\mathfrak{m}_{2,k,n}(E)$ " should read - " $\Phi_{2,k,n}(\mathfrak{m}_{2,k,n}(E)$ -;

at page 11, lines 10 and 11, it is not clear what are meant by "k1"; "k2"; "n1"; and "n2". A definition for each of them is required; and

At page 25, line 19, "external" should read -internal.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2183

Regarding claim 4, the phrase "in particular" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 6, line 5; and claim 7, line 4, the phrase "and/or" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

In claim 7, lines 3-5, it is not clear what is meant by "numbers ni of words which are different and/or of words of number ki of bits which are different" since

- (a) ni and ki are not well defined;
- (b) "and/or of words of" is not meaningful;
- (c) "words which are different" is not understandable. What is different from the words? Similarly, in line 5 "bits which are different"; and in line 8-9, "symbols which are different" are not understandable.

In general, the claims are not in proper idiomatic English as a result of the translation. Applicant is requested to rewrite the claims in accordance with U.S. standards.

Application/Control Number: 10/018,780 Art Unit: 2183

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

7. Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Shea et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,189,045) (hereafter referred to as O'Shea et al.'045).

Referring to claim 1, 0'Shea et al.'045 discloses, as claimed, data conversion device (10, see Fig. 3), intended to work on primary elementary data items (the data in one data type sent from data producer 14, see Fig. 3 and Col. 4, lines 29-31)

Art Unit: 2183

individually coded according to a first arrangement of words (the format of data sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3), characterized in that it comprises: storage means (producer data type database 32, see Fig. 3 and Col. 4, lines 59-60) for storing a first set of symbols (such as the symbols of the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3 ), all different (such as all different vocabularies of the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3), forming a representation of the said first arrangement and a second set of symbols (such as the symbols of the language to be converted and to be sent to data consumer 12, see Fig.3), all different (such as all different vocabularies of the converted language sent to data consumer 12, see Fig.3), forming a representation of a second arrangement of words (such as the format of the converted data sent to data consumer 12, see Fig.3), and an operator (conversion manager 18, see Fig. 3) devised so as to receive as input a primary elementary data item, as well as the said first (such as the symbols of the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3) and second (such as the symbols of the language to be converted and to be sent to data consumer 12, see Fig. 3) sets of symbols, and so as to perform on this primary elementary data item, word transformations defined solely by the said first and second sets of symbols in such a way as to output a corresponding secondary

Art Unit: 2183

data item (<u>such as the converted language sent to data consumer 12</u>, <u>see Fig. 3</u>) equivalent to the said primary elementary data item (<u>such as the language sent from data producer 14</u>, <u>see Fig. 3</u>).

Referring to claim 13, O'Shea et al.'045 discloses, as claimed, process for converting primary elementary data items (the data in one data type sent from data producer 14, see Fig. 3 and Col. 4, lines 29-31) individually coded according to a first arrangement of words (the format of data sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3), characterized in that it comprises the following steps:

- a) providing a first set of symbols (such as the symbols of the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3), all different (such as all different vocabularies of the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3), forming a representation of the said first arrangement and a second set of symbols (such as the symbols of the language to be converted and to be sent to data consumer 12, see Fig.3), all different (such as all different vocabularies of the converted language sent to data consumer 12, see Fig.3), forming a representation of a second arrangement of words and,
- b) receiving (by conversion manager 18, see Fig. 3) a primary elementary data item, as well as

Art Unit: 2183

the said first (<u>such as the symbols of the language sendt</u>
from data producer 14, see Fig.3) and second (<u>such as the</u>
symbols of the language to be converted and to be sent to data
consumer 12, see Fig.3) sets of symbols, and

c) performing (by conversion engine(s) 30, see Fig. 3) on this primary elementary data item (the data in one data type sent from data producer 14, see Fig. 3 and Col. 4, lines 29-31), word transformations defined solely by the said first and second sets of symbols in such a way as to output a corresponding secondary data item (such as the converted language sendt to data consumer 12, see Fig. 3) equivalent to said primary elementary data item (such as the language sent from data producer 14, see Fig.3).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 4-7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and objections, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 2, 3, and 14 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections, set forth in this Office action and to

Art Unit: 2183

include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Allen'625 discloses a computer system for engineering firm, has data converter that is responsive to specific immediate request from application, which requires data to be expressed in different data type, for particular data.

Schneier et al.'382 discloses remote auditing of computer generated outcomes using cryptographic and other protocols - allows person playing game on computer to submit outcome to central authority who then certify that outcome has been accurately reported and fairly achieved.

# Contact Information

-----

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Henry Tsai whose telephone number is (703) 308-7600. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

Art Unit: 2183

the examiner supervisor, Eddie Chan, can be reached on (703) 305-9712. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2100 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays,
Group 2100 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions
directly into the Group at fax number: 703-872-9306.

This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2100 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

HENRY W. H. TSAI

PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 28, 2004